

## Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <a href="http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content">http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content</a>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

## NOTES AND DISCUSSIONS

## AESCHYLUS FR. 207 AND THE SATYR CHORUS

Τράγος γένειον ἆρα πενθήσεις σύ γε.

This line is cited by Eustathius as an example of the use of the nominative for the vocative. Accepting this interpretation modern historians of the drama have used the line as the one explicit testimony for the identification of the Satyr chorus with goats or "goatmen." Thus Albert Müller Attisches Bühnen Wesen, p. 95, says: "und Aeschylos nannte in einem 472 aufgeführten Satyrdrama einen Choreuten geradezu Bock." Similarly Körte in Bethe Geschichte des Theaters, p. 343: "dass sie noch zu Aischylos' zeit wie Böcke aussahen lehrt, trotz Loeschke, das bekannte Fragment (207) des Prometheus πυρκαιεύς in Verein mit dem Pandorakrater." Loeschke's protest in Athen. Mittheil. XIX. p. 522, begins by admitting "dass Aeschylos . . . . einen Satyr τράγος genannt hat steht allerdings fest." He glances at the truth when he goes on to say that the word must be used "als Scheltwort," or metaphorically ("selbst wenn hier keine sprüchwortliche Redensart be nutzt sein sollte.") But he does not develop the idea, nor does he, I think, see the true construction. Professor Wilamowitz, though he believes that the chorus were really horsemen, Sileni, or a blended type, rather than goatmen proper, so construes the line in his note on Eurip. Herakles 81: "Und dass unter den Böcken Satyrn verstanden sind, lehrt sicherer als die verdächtige nachricht das die Dorer den Bock garvρος und τίτυρος genannt haben sollen der eine Aeschyleische vers Prometh. πυρκαιεύς 207 in welchem der Satyr des Satyrspiels wirklich Bock τράγος angeredet wird." This rendering has never satisfied my ear. It is not. to my feeling, the natural idiomatic meaning of the Greek words as they stand. I wish to propose an alternative version in the hope that the discussion of the idiom involved may not be without interest even to those who cannot admit its application to this line. The idiom in question has already been partially illustrated for other purposes by Cobet Mnemos. Nov. III. 247, by Otto Crusius Rhein. Mus. 43. 626, by Buchholz-Peppmüller on Theog. 347, and by Kock on Cratinus fr. 52. But I think that there is something more to be said.

In Greek poetry a simile is often indicated by mere juxtaposition without a comparative conjunction. Cf., e. g., Aesch. *Prom.* 856:

οί δ' ἐπτοημένοι φρένας, | κίρκοι πελειῶν οὐ μακρὰν λελειμμένοι [Eurip.] Rhesus 56, 57:

δστις μ' εὐτυχοῦντ' ἐνόσφισας | θοίνης λέοντα

Anth. Pal. XII. 59:

άλλὰ Μυΐσκος

έσβεσεν εκλάμψας άστέρας ήέλιος.

Ibid. 92. 3:

ήρπάσατ' ἄλλον Έρωτ, ἄρνες λύκον,

Ibid. 107. 3:

μύρτον ξωλον ἐρρίφθω.

This, it may be observed in passing, falls in with a general tendency of Greek poetry to blend the image with the object for which it stands. See Jebb on Soph. *Antig.* 117, O. T. 866. An extension of this usage leads to the idiomatic and half humorous identification of the person with the proverb, familiar phrase, or image that fits his case.

In Theog. 347 ἐγὼ δὲ κύων ἐπέρησα χαράδρην the poet identifies himself with the dog of the (lost) proverb or fable, and the verb agrees with ἐγώ. Similarly in Theog. 1361:

Ναῦς πέτρη προσέκυρσας ἐμῆς φιλότητος ἁμάρτων, the juxtaposition of ναῦς πέτρη indicates the comparison, the verb is adapted to the person addressed, and no one would dream of saying that ναῦς is vocative for nominative. Cf. Plato Com. fr. 191 σὺ γάρ, ὧς φασι, Χείρων ἐξέθρεψας Περι κλέα. Alcman IV. 85, is probably a similar case:

έγων μέν αὐτὰ | παρθένος μάταν ἀπὸ θράνω λέλακα | γλαύξ

where the proverb, again, is an inference. And so probably in the same poem the corrupt 59th line. In Cratinus fr. 52, Kock, δ δ' ὄνος ὕεται is, of course, not 'and the ass stands in the rain,' but 'he is the (proverbial) ass in the rain.' This appears more plainly in Cephisodor, fr. 1, ἐγὼ δὲ τοῖς λόγοις ὄνος ὕομαι, where τοῖς λόγοις and the person of the verb do not fit the ass, but the juxtaposition suffices. Cratinus' delicious parody of Solon, ὑμῶν εἶς μὲν ἔκαστος — ἀλώπηξ δωροδοκεῖται (fr. 128), depends on this idiom. So also his ὄνοι δ' ἀπωτέρω κάθηνται τῆς λύρας (fr. 229), and Philemon's ὄνος βαδίζεις εἶς ἄχυρα τραγημάτων.

In Aristoph. Lysist. 695 ἀετὸν τίκτοντα κάνθαρός σε μαιεύσομαι, the confusion and the humorous identification are complete. The matter-of-fact scholiast adds as often in such cases,  $\lambda \epsilon i \pi \epsilon \iota \tau \delta$  ως. Another instance is Lysist. 928 ἀλλ ἢ τὸ πέος τόδ' Ἡρακλῆς ξενίζεται; In Wasps 144 καπνὸς ἔγωγε ἐξέργομαι we have an extreme case.

In Plutus 295 τράγοι δ' ἀκρατιεῖσθε it is plain the τράγοι is not vocative; nor is 'Αρίστυλλος in 314 σὰ δ' 'Αρίστυλλος ὑποχάσκων ἐρεῖς, nor perhaps, strictly speaking, χοῖροι in 315 ἔπεσθε μητρὶ χοῖροι where the three proverbial words together are the address. Cf. further, Aristoph. Wasps 1291; Lucian adv. indoct. 4 ἀλλ' ὄνος λύρας ἀκούεις. Lucian Cock 11

έσήειν οὖν μάτην λύκος χανὼν; Paroem., Vol. I, p. 108, ἔοικα βοῦς ἐπὶ σφαγὴν μολείν; ibid. I, p. 279. μη πρὸς λέοντα δορκας άψωμαι μάχης. Libanius 1.14. 1 ('Αριστομένης) . . . . έτηρούμην. Theorr. 14. 49 ἄμμες . . . . δύστηνοι Μεγαρηες; 14. 51 μθς . . . . γεύμεθα πίσσας; 21. 36 άλλ' όνος εν βάμνω τὸ δε λύχνιον έν πρυτανείω; Anth. Pal. XII. 149 σων άχέων μίμνομεν άλκύονες. In Theoer. 7. 41 we have βάτραχος δὲ ποτ' ἀκρίδας ὧς τις ἐρίσδω. But in Virgil's imitation *Eclog.* 9. 36: Sed argutos interstrepere anser olores. So elsewhere in Latin. Hor. Sat. 1. 101 ut vivam Maenius; Epist. 1. 2. 28 sponsi Penelopae, etc.; 1. 2. 41 qui recte vivendi prorogat horam | rusticus expectat dum defluat amnis; 1. 6. 63 remigium vitiosum Ithacensis Ulixei; 1. 10. 5 annuimus pariter vetuli notique columbae. Cic. De or. 2. 57. 33 docebo sus ut aiunt oratorem. A less obvious case is Pindar Pyth. IV. 289 καὶ μὰν κεῖνος Ἄτλας οὐρανῶ | προσπαλαίει where οὐρανώ, though construed with προσπαλαίει, is felt in proverbial juxtaposition with \*Ατλας. Cf. Diogen. Cent. II. 67 \*Ατλας τον οὐρανόν. On the analogy, then, of these and many other cases I would translate the line of Aeschylus not, "O goat, you then will grieve for your beard," or, as L. and S. put it, "take care you don't burn your beard, goat," but [if you kiss that fire (βουλομένου φιλήσαι, Plutarch)] "you'll be the goat (in the proverb) who mourned (lost) his beard, you will." Plutarch De util. ex inimicis, p. 86 F., does not say or imply that τράγος is vocative. Epiphanios' verbose and blundering explanation (cited in Nauck) is of little weight. Eustathius quotes the line as a case of the use of nominative for vocative. But that he was mistaken is no stranger than the fact that nearly everybody today misinterprets Shakspear's "One touch of nature makes the whole world kin." It would not be difficult to accumulate examples of grotesquely impossible constructions proposed by ancient critics and scholiasts.

For an Athenian ear the point was indicated by the juxtaposition  $\tau \rho \acute{a}\gamma os$   $\gamma \acute{e}\iota \omega ov$ . That we cannot now cite the precise proverb is of no moment. The goat, like the ass, was a funny animal to the Greek, and the subject of numerous proverbs. Nothing is more likely than that there was one about a goat who poked his nose and shaggy beard too near the fire. Only a very literal mind will object that it would have been an anachronism to allude to such a proverb in a play dealing with the discovery of fire. There are countless proverbial expressions of the grammatical type required consisting of two nouns elliptically juxtaposed or a noun and a gnomic verb, e. g.:

ἀἰξ τὴν μάχαιραν.
κριὸς τὰ τροφεῖα.
ὄνος τὰ Μελιταῖα.
'Αθηνῷ τὸν αἴλουρον.
γαλῷ χιτών.

κόραξ ύδρεύει. κύων έπὶ δεσμα. Σικελὸς δμφακίζεται. λαγώς καθεύδων. δε διὰ ρόδων. δς ἐκώμασε. δς ύπὸ ρόπαλον. Λίνδιοι την θυσίαν. δ Σκύθης τὸν ἔππον. φαλακρός κτένα. κορώνη τὸν σκόρπιον. Καρπάθιος τὸν λαγών. οινόη την χαράδραν. βοῦθος περιφοιτά Crat. fr. 247. όνος ἀκροά σάλπιγγος Eupolis fr. 261. λύκος έχανεν Aristoph. fr. 337. υς ποκ' 'Αθαναία Theorr. 5. 23. τέττιξ κελαδεί Theopomp. fr. 40. ονος . . . . ογκ $\hat{a}\theta$  Theopomp. fr. 4. αλλεται . . . κεστρεύς Diocles fr. 5. δ μθς τὸν λέοντα Julian Epist. 8. ή ἄμαξα τὸν βοῦν Lucian Dial. mort. 6. 2. δ νεβρὸς τὸν λέοντα ibid. 8. 1.

Any one of these and many others of the type might be used allusively in the idiom that we have been studying. We cannot expect explicit testimony for all.<sup>1</sup>

PAUL SHOREY

## EMENDATION OF DE CIV. DEI II. 27

St. Augustine De civ. Dei ii. 27 (Dombart) begins with the words: "Vir gravis et philosophaster Tullius." What awakens suspicion against the passage is, firstly, that the word philosophaster has a tone of scorn that ill accords with gravis which precedes; secondly, that the abusive term is directed against Cicero for whom St. Augustine usually shows deep regard. To be sure he often disagrees with Cicero, but he seldom applies disrespectful epithets to him. The tone he usually adopts is that of passages like xxii. 6: "Unus e numero doctissimorum hominum idemque eloquentissimus omnium Marcus Tullius Cicero;" iv. 26: "merito displicuit viro gravi poeta;" and Confess. iii. 4: "ille vero liber

<sup>1</sup>Since this note was written I have observed that Blaydes on Aristoph. *Lysist*. 694, cites our fragment of Aeschylus with other similar cases. He offers no explanation, however, and his interpretation, if he intended it, seems to have been overlooked.